

Stability of rotating supermassive stars in presence of dark matter background

G.S. Bisnovatyi-Kogan, *

Abstract

Stability of supermassive stars embedded in hot dark matter is investigated on the base of the energetic method. Stability effect of dark matter is compared with rotational stabilization and preference of the last factor is advocated.

1 Introduction

Supermassive stars, considered hystorically as a first model of quasars and active galactic nuclei (AGN) suffer from early instability to relativistic collapse, imposing their short life time (Fowler, 1964; Zeldovich and Novikov, 1965; Ozernoy, 1966). This instability is connected with a high entropy of the matter in such stars, what leads to prevailing of radiation pressure, closeness of the adiabatic index to $4/3$ and small stability resource.

A common way to overcome this instability is to consider rotating superstars, what may postpone the moment of collapse to 3×10^4 years for solid body rotation with angular momentum and mass losses (Bisnovatyi-Kogan, Zeldovich and Novikov, 1967), and much longer for a differentially rotating star evolving with almost constant angular momentum (Fowler, 1966; Bisnovatyi-Kogan and Ruzmaikin, 1973). Farther developement of a theory and collection of observations led to accreting supermassive black hole (Lynden-Bell, 1969) as a most promising model for quasars and AGN.

*Space Research Institute, Russian Acadamey of Sciences, Moscow, Russia. Email: gkogan@mx.iki.rssi.ru

Nevertheless, formation of supermassive stars on early stages of the Universe expansion, their loss of stability with subsequent collapse or explosion (Bisnovatyi-Kogan, 1968; Fricke, 1973; Fuller et al, 1986) could be important for early formation of heavy elements, observed in spectra of the most distant objects with red shift ~ 5 , creation of perturbations for large scale structure formation, influence on small scale fluctuations of microwave background radiation (Peebles, 1987; Cen et al, 1993). A necessity of a presence of a dark matter in modern cosmological models makes it important to include it into stability analysis of supermassive stars. This was done by McLaughlin and Fuller (1996), who dealt with nonrotating superstars. Here we consider the same problem for rotating superstars, using energetic method, which is asymptotically exact for supermassive stars (Fowler, 1964; Zeldovich and Novikov, 1967). We show that rotational effects occur to be more important for realistic choice of parameters.

2 Stability analysis

In supermassive stars with equation of state $P = P_r + P_g = \frac{aT^4}{3} + \rho\mathcal{R}T$ there is $P_r \gg P_g$ due to high entropy of such stars. Besides, such stars are fully convective and entropy is uniform over them, so the spatial structure is well described by a polytropic distribution, corresponding to $\gamma = 4/3$. In the energetic method, considered by Fowler (1964), Zeldovich and Novikov (1965), and formalized by Bisnovatyi-Kogan (1966), the spatial profile of the star is fixed during perturbations $\rho = \rho_c \phi(m/M)$, and stability analysis is reduced to the set of algebraic equations. When the main terms in total energy (without a rest mass) are the energy of radiation and newtonian gravity, small terms connecting with plasma energy, general relativistic corrections, rotation and a dark matter, are calculated using polytropic, with $\gamma = 4/3$, density distribution, corresponding to isentropic star with pure radiation pressure. In this situation influence of a hot dark matter, which density does not change during perturbations, should be taken into account by account of a newtonian gravitational energy of the star in the dark matter potential, because GR effects of a dark matter are of a higher order of magnitude (McLaughlin, Fuller, 1996).

For radiation dominated plasma there is a following expression for the adiabatic index, determining the stability to a collapse

$$\gamma = \left(\frac{\partial \log P}{\partial \log \rho} \right)_S \approx \frac{4}{3} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{R}}{2S} \right) = \frac{4}{3} + \frac{\beta}{6}, \quad (1)$$

where $\beta = \frac{P_g}{P} = \frac{4\mathcal{R}}{S}$. In the radiationally dominated supermassive star there is a unique connection between its mass M and entropy per unit mass S (Zeldovich and Novikov, 1967)

$$M = 4.44 \left(\frac{a}{3G} \right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{3S}{4a} \right)^2, \quad (2)$$

where a is a constant of the radiation energy density, and numerical coefficient is related to the polytropic density distribution with $\gamma = 4/3$. At the point of a loss of stability the critical value of an average adiabatic index $\langle \gamma \rangle$ in selfgravitating nonrotating star with account of post-newtonian corrections is determined by a relation (Zeldovich and Novikov, 1967)

$$\langle \gamma \rangle_{crs} = \frac{4}{3} + \delta_{GR} = \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{\varepsilon_{GR}}{\varepsilon_N} \approx \frac{4}{3} + 0.99 \frac{GM^{2/3} \rho_c^{1/3}}{c^2}. \quad (3)$$

Here averaging is done over the stellar volume with a pressure P as a weight function, ε_{GR} and ε_N are post-newtonian and newtonian gravitational energies of the superstar, respectively. From comparison between (1) and (3) we get a well known relation for a critical central density of a supermassive star stabilized by plasma

$$\rho_c = 0.10 \frac{\mathcal{R}^3 c^6}{G^{21/4} a^{3/4}} M^{-7/2} \approx 1.8 \times 10^{18} \left(\frac{M_\odot}{M} \right)^{7/2} \text{ g/cm}^3. \quad (4)$$

Here and below we consider for simplicity a pure hydrogen plasma. Newtonian energy of a superstar ε_{nd} in the gravitational field of uniformly distributed dark matter with a density ρ_d is written as

$$\varepsilon_{nd} = \int_0^M \varphi_d dm. \quad (5)$$

The gravitational potential of a uniform dark matter φ_d is written as

$$\varphi_d = \frac{2\pi}{3} G \rho_d r^2 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{GM_d}{R_d}, \quad (6)$$

where R_d is much larger then stellar radius R , and M_d is a total mass of the dark matter halo. Stability does not depend on normalization of the

gravitational potential so we shall omit the constant value in (5). It follows from (5) and (6) that during variations $\varepsilon_{nd} \sim \rho_c^{-2/3}$, while $\varepsilon_{GR} \sim \rho_c^{2/3}$ and $\varepsilon_N \sim \rho_c^{1/3}$ (Zeldovich and Novikov, 1967). Critical value of the adiabatic index in the energetic method is obtained in the most convenient way by equating to zero a second derivative of the total energy over $\rho^{1/3}$. As a result for nonrotaing superstar in presence of a dark matter the critical value of an average adiabatic index $< \gamma >_{crnrot}$ is determined by

$$< \gamma >_{crnrot} = < \gamma >_{crs} + \delta_{dm} = \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{\varepsilon_{GR}}{\varepsilon_N} - 2 \frac{\varepsilon_{nd}}{|\varepsilon_N|}. \quad (7)$$

Taking into account, that $\varepsilon_N \approx 12\pi \int_0^R Pr^2 dr$ and (5) - (7) we obtain the value of a dark matter correction to the stability criteria δ_{dm} coinsiding with the corresponding correction δ_{dm} in the formula (11e) from McLaughlin and Fuller (1996) (later with subscript $_{MF}$: (11e $_{MF}$)). The relation for a critical density in presence of a dark matter is obtained by comparison of (1) and (7). We get

$$0.99 \frac{GM^{2/3} \rho_c^{1/3}}{c^2} = 4.1 \frac{\rho_d}{\rho_c} + \frac{\beta}{6} = 4.1 \frac{\rho_d}{\rho_c} + \frac{\mathcal{R}}{2a} \left(\frac{4.44}{M} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{a}{3G} \right)^{3/4}. \quad (8)$$

Here relations $R = \frac{M^{1/3} \rho_c^{-1/3}}{0.426}$ and $\int_0^R \rho r^4 dr = 6.95 \times 10^{-4} \rho_c R^5$, based on Emden polytropic distribution with $\gamma = 4/3$, were used (see Bisnovatyi-Kogan, 1989). Relation (8) is reduced to (6 $_{MF}$), note a misprinting in the sign in (6 $_{MF}$).

$$2.8 \times 10^{-3} \left(\frac{M}{M_6} \right)^{2/3} \rho_c^{4/3} = 3.5 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{M_6}{M} \right)^{1/2} \rho_c + \rho_d. \quad (9)$$

In Fig.1 solution of (9) is presented, as in Fig.2 $_{MF}$.

3 Stability of rotating stars

Rotaion was shown to be a main stabilization factor of a superstar. Let us consider a rigid rotation, when its energy is a small correction to the energy of radiation and the energetic method is a good approach. When losses of an angular momentum during evolution are negligible we distinguish between

rapidly rotating (RR) and slowly rotating (SR) superstars. In RR case a superstar reaches the state of rotational equatorial breaking before loosing its dynamical instability, and in SR case instability comes first. If a superstar has an angular momentum J , then its rotational energy $\varepsilon_{rot} \approx 1.25 J^2 \rho_c^{2/3} M^{-5/3}$, and a ratio $\varepsilon_{rot}/\varepsilon_{GR}$ remains constant during evolution (Bisnovatyi-Kogan, Zeldovich, Novikov, 1967). In presence of rotation and dark matter the critical value of the adiabatic index $\langle \gamma \rangle_{crrot}$ is written as

$$\langle \gamma \rangle_{crrot} = \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{|\varepsilon_{GR}| - \varepsilon_{rot}}{|\varepsilon_N|} - 2 \frac{\varepsilon_{nd}}{|\varepsilon_N|}, \quad (10)$$

and the relations for determination of a critical central density, instead of (9), is written as

$$2.8 \times 10^{-3} \left(\frac{M}{M_6} \right)^{2/3} \rho_c^{4/3} \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon_{rot}}{|\varepsilon_{GR}|} \right) = 3.5 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{M_6}{M} \right)^{1/2} \rho_c + \rho_d. \quad (11)$$

As follows from (11), a superstar does not lose its stability when $\varepsilon_{rot} > |\varepsilon_{GR}|$. This qualitative result, obtained in the post-newtonian approximation, remains to be valid in a strong gravitational field and reflects a presence of a limiting specific angular momentum $a_{lim} = GM/c$, so that a black holes with a Kerr metric may exist only at $a < a_{lim}$ (Misner, Thorne, Wheeler, 1973).

A RR superstar in a course of the evolution reaches instead a limit of a rotational instability, and equatorial mass shedding begins, leading to a loss of an angular momentum. Such star will lose the stability when the angular momentum will become less than the limiting value. The stage of a mass loss was examined by (Bisnovatyi-Kogan, Zeldovich, Novikov, 1967), where it was shown that this stage may last about 10 times longer, than a maximum evolution time to approach the rotational instability point. RR star reaches the stage of a rotational instability at different central densities, depending on J , but the ratio of rotational and Newtonian gravitational energy on the mass-shedding curve remains constant (Bisnovatyi-Kogan, Zeldovich, Novikov, 1967) ¹

¹Note, that in presence of a dark matter halo mass outflow begins at rotational energy approximately $(1 + 54\rho_d/\rho_c)$ times larger, then in (12) due to additional gravity of a dark matter. This correction is small for a considered halo density on the mass-shedding curve at $J > J_0$ (see below), and is neglected in this section.

$$\varepsilon_{rot} = 0.00725|\varepsilon_N|. \quad (12)$$

The energy of a rotating supertar in equilibrium in presence of a hot dark matter may be written as

$$\varepsilon_{eq} = -\varepsilon_{gas} + |\varepsilon_{GR}| - \varepsilon_{rot} + 3\varepsilon_{nd}. \quad (13)$$

In the main term for a superstar in equilibrium a relation is valid

$$\varepsilon_{gas} = \frac{\beta}{2}|\varepsilon_N|. \quad (14)$$

Taking into account (12), (14), we get an expression for an equilibrium energy along the mass-shedding curve (with variable J)

$$\varepsilon_{eq} = -\left(0.00725 + \frac{\beta}{2}\right)|\varepsilon_N| + |\varepsilon_{GR}| + 3\varepsilon_{nd}. \quad (15)$$

The curve $\varepsilon_{eq}(\rho_c)$ has a minimum at the central density, determined by a relation

$$2.8 \times 10^{-3} \left(\frac{M}{M_6}\right)^{2/3} \rho_c^{4/3} = 3.5 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{M_6}{M}\right)^{1/2} \rho_c + 5.9 \times 10^{-4} \rho_c + \rho_d. \quad (16)$$

From comparison (16) and (11) with account of (12) it is clear, that dynamical instability cannot occur in the minimum of the mass-shedding curve, and after crossing it the evolution proceeds with a substantial mass and angular momentum losses. Central density of the superstar in the minimum of the mass-shedding curve (15) with and without dark matter are represented in the fig.1.

Let us find a parameters of a superstar, at which its critical state is situated on the mass-shedding curve. These parameters satisfy sumultaneously the relations (11) and (12), what leads to the equation for determination of a central density in the form

$$2.8 \times 10^{-3} \left(\frac{M}{M_6}\right)^{2/3} \rho_c^{4/3} = 3.5 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{M_6}{M}\right)^{1/2} \rho_c + 12 \times 10^{-4} \rho_c + \rho_d. \quad (17)$$

The relation (12) is used for determination of an angular momentum of the superstar $J = J_0$ with ρ_c from (16) and $J = J_1 < J_0$ with ρ_c from (17). Solution of this equation is also given in fig.1. As may be seen from fig.1, the stabilizing effect of rotation on the mass-shedding curve at $J = J_1$ is more important, then stabilization by a hot dark matter, which influence decreases at increasing of a central density. With account of a longer state of the evolution with mass loss until reaching the point of the loss of stability at larger J , this conclusion becomes even stronger.

Determination of the central density at the point of the loss of stability is often not enough for characterizing the stabilization. The effect of stabilization is characterized by increase of a life time τ of the star until it reaches the point of a loss of stability. In turn τ depends on the energy loss rate and changing of a binding energy of a superstar along its evolutionary path.

4 Evolution of a superstar

In massive superstars the temperature and the density at the point of a loss of stability are not enough for sufficient nuclear energy production, so gravitational energy is the main source. Luminosity of a superstar is determined by its mass and is very close to the Eddington critical luminosity $L_E = \frac{4\pi cGM}{\kappa}$ (Fowler, 1964; Zeldovich and Novikov, 1965) In rotating superstars mass loss begins at luminosity less then L_E due to the action of a centrifugal force, and the presence of a dark matter imply increasing of the luminosity of a superstar, because the radiation force must balance the gravity of the dark matter in addition to the selfgravity of a superstar. When using L_E for estimation of the evolution time we should take into account possible mass loss in the case of a rigid rotation. In the presence of a dark matter we would overestimate the lifetime of the superstar by using (??).

Taking into account the gravity of a dark matter, we obtain that radiation force balance the total gravity at $L = L_{dE}$

$$L_{dE} = \frac{4\pi cGM}{\kappa} \left(1 + 54.2 \frac{\rho_d}{\rho_c} \right). \quad (18)$$

Nonmonotonial dependence of the binding energy $\varepsilon_b = -\varepsilon_{eq}$ on the central density may confuse the estimation of a stabilization effect, using only a central density data. This is what takes place along the mass-shedding curve.

Here maximum of the binding energy corresponds to the central density, determined by (16), and at larger central density on this curve in the point coinciding with the critical point, determined by (17), the binding energy is less, so the evolution time is smaller. Comparison of binding energies in these two points is easy to do analytically for small dark matter influence. For minimum of mass-shedding curve we get a central density from (16) as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{cms}^{1/3} &= A \frac{\rho_c^{1/3} \varepsilon_N}{\varepsilon_{GR}} + \frac{3}{A^3} \frac{\rho_c^{1/3} \varepsilon_{nd} \varepsilon_{GR}^2}{\varepsilon_N^3} = \\ &0.21 \left(\frac{M_6}{M} \right)^{2/3} + 0.12 \left(\frac{M_6}{M} \right)^{7/6} + \\ &3.7 \times 10^4 \rho_d \left(\frac{M}{M_6} \right)^{4/3} \left[1 + 0.58 \left(\frac{M_6}{M} \right)^{1/2} \right]^{-3}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

At the critical point on this curve it follows from (17)

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{ccs}^{1/3} &= \left(2A - \frac{\beta}{4} \right) \frac{\rho_c^{1/3} \varepsilon_N}{\varepsilon_{GR}} + \frac{3}{(2A - \frac{\beta}{4})^3} \frac{\rho_c^{1/3} \varepsilon_{nd} \varepsilon_{GR}^2}{\varepsilon_N^3} = \\ &0.42 \left(\frac{M_6}{M} \right)^{2/3} + 0.12 \left(\frac{M_6}{M} \right)^{7/6} + \\ &4.7 \times 10^3 \rho_d \left(\frac{M}{M_6} \right)^{4/3} \left[1 + 0.29 \left(\frac{M_6}{M} \right)^{1/2} \right]^{-3}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where $A = \frac{1}{2}(0.0075 + \frac{\beta}{2})$. The binding energy in the first point, with account of (19) is

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{bms} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(0.00725 + \frac{\beta}{2} \right) |\varepsilon_N| - 6\varepsilon_{nd} = \\ &A^2 \frac{\varepsilon_N^2}{\varepsilon_{GR}} - \frac{3}{A^2} \frac{\varepsilon_{nd} \varepsilon_{GR}^2}{\varepsilon_N^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

and in the second point, with account of (20) is

$$\varepsilon_{bcs} = \frac{\beta}{4} |\varepsilon_N| - 6\varepsilon_{nd} = \quad (22)$$

$$(2A - \frac{\beta}{4}) \frac{\beta}{4} \frac{\varepsilon_N^2}{\varepsilon_{GR}} - \frac{3(4A - \frac{3}{4}\beta) \varepsilon_{nd} \varepsilon_{GR}^2}{(2A - \frac{\beta}{4})^3 \varepsilon_N^2}.$$

We get from comparison of (21) and (22)

$$\varepsilon_{bms} - \varepsilon_{bcs} = (A - \frac{\beta}{4})^2 \frac{\varepsilon_N^2}{\varepsilon_{GR}} - 6 \frac{(2A - \frac{\beta}{8})(A - \frac{\beta}{4})^2 \varepsilon_{nd} \varepsilon_{GR}^2}{A^2 (2A - \frac{\beta}{4})^3 \varepsilon_N^2}. \quad (23)$$

The second term in (23) is much smaller then the first, so the minimum point with smaller central density (18) has larger binding energy then the point of loss of stability (19). Time of the evolution of a superstar until the point of the loss of stability τ is equal to

$$\tau = \int_0^{\rho_{cc}} \frac{d\varepsilon_b}{L_E} \quad (24)$$

Due to increase of the binding energy with increase of a central density the evolution becomes slower with time. In the minimum of the mass- shedding curve the central density for $M = 10^6 M_\odot$ is about 0.06 g/cm³ (see Fig.1), so the increase of the critical luminosity due to the gravity of a dark matter is negligible for $\rho_d = 10^{-5}$ g/cm³, see (18). It means, that estimations of the life times of the superstar made by Bisnovatyi-Kogan, Zeldovich, Novikov (1967) for rotating superstars without a presence of a dark matter remain valid for parameters of the dark matter, considered above (McLaughlin, Fuller, 1996). The maximal evolution time of a rigidly rotating superstar without a mass loss cannot exceed 3×10^3 years, and the stage of a mass loss until reaching the point of a loss of stability could be an order of a magnitude longer.

Acknowledgements

This work was partly supported by NSF grant AST-9320068, Russian Fundamental Research Foundation grant No. 96-02-16553 and grant of a Ministry of Science and Technology 1.2.6.5.

References

- Bisnovatyi-Kogan, G.S. 1966, Astron. Zh. **43** 89
- Bisnovatyi-Kogan, G.S. 1968, Astron. Zh. **45** 74

- Bisnovatyi-Kogan,G.S. 1989, Physical Problems of the Theory of Stellar Evolution (Moscow, Nauka)
- Bisnovatyi-Kogan,G.S., Ruzmaikin, A.A., 1973, Astron. Ap., **27**, 209
- Bisnovatyi-Kogan,G.S., Zel'dovich,Ya.B., Novikov,I.D. 1967, Astron. Zh. **44** 525
- Cen,R., Ostriker,J., Peebles,P.J.E. 1993, ApJ, **415**, 423
- Fowler,W. 1964, Rev. Mod. Phys. **36**, 545, 1104
- Fowler,W. 1966, ApJ. **144**, 191
- Fuller,G.M., Woosley,S.E., Weaver,T.A. 1986, ApJ, **307**, 675
- Fricke,K. 1973, ApJ, **183**, 941
- Lynden-Bell,D. 1969, Nature, **223**, 690
- McLaughlin,G., Fuller,G. 1996, ApJ, **456**, 71
- Misner Ch.W., Thorne K.S., Wheeler J.A. 1973. Gravitation. W.H.Freeman and Co. San Fransisco.
- Ozernoy L.M. 1966, Astron. Zh., **43**, 300
- Peebles,P.J.E. 1987, ApJ, **313**, L73
- Zel'dovich,Ya.B., Novikov,I.D. (1965), Uspekhi Fiz. Nauk **86** 447.
- Zel'dovich,Ya.B., Novikov,I.D. (1967), Relativistic Astrophysics. Moscow. Nauka.

Figure 1: The correction terms δ_{GR} and $\delta_{dm} + \delta_{GR}$, the quantities $\beta/6$ (line a), $\beta/6 + (\varepsilon_{rot}/\varepsilon_N)_{sh}/3$ (line b), and $2\beta/6 + (\varepsilon_{rot}/\varepsilon_N)_{sh}/3$ (line c), as functions of the central density of a supermassive star with $M = 10^6 M_\odot$, and dark matter density of 10^{-5} g/cm^3 . The instability points for nonrotating star occure at intersection of the correction term curves with the line a. Mass shedding in the stable star with angular momentum J_0 (see text) occures at intersection of correction term curves with the line b, and critical point on the mass-shedding curve is determined by a corresponding intersection with the line c.

